METROPOLITAN TRANSPORT CORPORATION (CHENNAI) LTD.,

Addendum / Corrigendum IIto RFP No. 48022/Proj/MTC/2023-2dated 21.11.2023.

Subject: REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP) FOR SELECTION OF A CONSULTING FIRM TO OPERATE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT UNIT (PMU) IN METROPOLITAN TRANSPORT CORPORATION (CHENNAI)

| Clause | As given in RFP Document | To be read as | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| SECTION 1. Instructions to | Technical Proposal | Technical Proposal | | |
| Bidders | | | | |
| | k) Form TECH 7 - Work Schedule Form | k) Form TECH 7 - Work Schedule Form | | |
| 1.10.4 | 1) Form TECH 8 - Team Composition and Task | k) Form TECH 8 - Team Composition and Task | | |
| | Assigned | Assigned | | |
| Page No. 14 | m) Form TECH 9 - Curriculum Vitae of Key Personnel | 1) Form TECH 9 - Curriculum Vitae of Key Personnel | | |
| SECTION 1. Instructions to | 1.19.1 The Authority expects all the Key Personnel | 1.19.1 The Authority expects all the Key Personnel | | |
| Bidders | specified in the Proposal are to be available during | specified in the Proposal are to be available during | | |
| Bidders | implementation of the Scope of Work mentioned in this | implementation of the Scope of Work mentioned in this | | |
| 1.19 Substitution of Key | RFP. The Authority will not consider any substitution of | RFP. The Authority will not consider any substitution of | | |
| Personnel | Key Personnel except under compelling circumstances | Key Personnel except under compelling circumstances | | |
| Fersonner | beyond the reasonable control of the Consultant such as | beyond the reasonable control of the Consultant such as | | |
| Page No. 19-20 | retirement, death, medical incapacity among others, of | retirement or resignation, death, medical incapacity | | |
| 1 age 140. 17-20 | key personnel. | among others, of key personnel. | | |
| SECTION 1. Instructions to | 1.19.2 Substitution shall be limited to a maximum of | 1.19.2 Substitution shall be limited to a maximum of | | |
| Bidders | Four (4) Key Personnel other than Team Leader, subject | Three (3) times for the positions - KP 2 & KP 3 subject | | |
| | to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel | to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel | | |
| 1.19 Substitution of Key | being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. Any | being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. Any | | |
| Personnel | subsequent substitution of key personnel so replaced | subsequent substitution of key personnel would call for a | | |
| | would call for reduction of remuneration by 10% of the | reduction of 10% in the applicable monthly | | |
| Page No. 20 | remuneration of the personnel so replaced. | remuneration for the remainder of the period. | | |
| | | 1.19.2 a) Substitutions for positions KP 4 & KP 5, shall | | |
| | | be allowed at the time of their extension of period of | | |
| | | deployment beyond the first year without any reduction | | |
| | | in remuneration. If no replacement for a position is | | |

| Clause | As given in RFP Document | To be read as | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | sought at the time of extension, then replacement of such | | |
| | | key personnel shall be allowed only One (1) time during | | |
| | | the period of extension without any reduction in | | |
| | | remuneration. Any subsequent substitution of key | | |
| | | personnel so replaced, would call for a reduction of 10% | | |
| | | in the applicable monthly remuneration for the | | |
| | | remainder of the period. In each case, Equal or better | | |
| | | qualified and experienced replacement personnel shall | | |
| | | have to be provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. | | |
| | 1.19.3 Requests by Consultant for replacement of | 1.19.3 Requests by Consultant for replacement of KP 1 | | |
| | Team Leader may be considered by the authority for | -Team Leader may be considered by the authority for | | |
| | situation beyond the reasonable control of the consultant | situation beyond the reasonable control of the consultant | | |
| SECTION 1. Instructions to | and allowed based on merits of the case so determined | and allowed based on merits of the case so determined | | |
| Bidders | by the Authority, subject to equally or better qualified | by the Authority, subject to equally or better qualified | | |
| | and experienced personnel being provided to the | and experienced personnel being provided to the | | |
| 1.19 Substitution of Key | satisfaction of the Authority. Such substitution of Team | satisfaction of the Authority. Such substitution of Team | | |
| Personnel | Leader shall be allowed only once. The Authority may | Leader shall be allowed only once. Any subsequent | | |
| | impose, at its discretion, reduction of remuneration of | substitution would call for a reduction of 10% in the | | |
| Page No. 20 | up to 20% of the applicable remuneration of the Team | applicable monthly remuneration for the remainder of | | |
| | Leader. Inability of consultant to deploy Team Leader | the period. Inability of consultant to deploy Team | | |
| | for the assignment will be grounds for termination by | Leader for the assignment will be grounds for | | |
| | the Authority. | termination by the Authority. | | |
| | | Minimum Project Experience: | | |
| SECTION 2. Data Sheet | Minimum Project Experience: | Firms who have completed at least 2 (two) similar | | |
| Pt. No 15 - Minimum Eligibility Criteria | Firms who have completed at least 2 (two) similar projects*, in India in last 10 years preceding bid due date undertaken for any Central/State Government or its entities. | projects*, in India in last 10 years preceding bid due date | | |
| | | undertaken for any Central/State Government or its | | |
| | | entities either directly contracted by such entities or | | |
| D 37 25 | | contracted by any multi-lateral/bilateral funding or | | |
| Page No. 27 | | donor agencies for Central/State Government or its entities. | | |
| | | entities. | | |

| Clause | As given in RFP Document | To be read as | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| SECTION 2. Data Sheet Pt. No 15 - Minimum Eligibility Criteria Page No. 27 | *Similar Project Experience – Similar Project means, Advisory assignments or Program Management Consultancy (PMC)/Program Management Units (PMU) for Preparation and or Implementation of Strategic Road Map/ Service and technology upgradation plan/Business plan towards Operations or/ Maintenance or Augmentation of Public Bus System/ BRTS/ MRTS/ Sub-urban Rail/Metro OR Program Management Units/ Program Management Consulting for coordinating and implementing Urban Infrastructure Program OR Restructuring of public transport undertakings. | *Similar Project Experience — Similar Project means, Advisory assignments or Program Management Consultancy (PMC)/Program Management Units (PMU)/Project Management Consultancy / Project Support Consultancy/Project Management Unit for Preparation and or Implementation of Strategic Road Map/ Service and technology upgradation plan/Business plan towards Operations or/ Maintenance or Augmentation of Public Bus System/ BRTS/ MRTS/ Sub-urban Rail/Metro OR Program Management Units/Program Management Consulting for coordinating and implementing Urban Infrastructure Program OR Restructuring of public transport undertakings. | | |
| Pt. No 15 - Minimum Eligibility Criteria Page No. 28 | 2.Ongoing projects shall be considered as experience subject to completion of 80% of work assigned/receipt of 80% of the project fee by the applicant, certified by Statutory Auditor. | 2.Ongoing projects shall be considered as experience subject to completion of 80% of work assigned or /receipt of 80% of the project fee by the applicant, certified by Statutory Auditor or certificate provided by the client. | | |
| SECTION 2. Data Sheet Pt. No 16 - Technical Proposal Scoring II. Team Qualification & Experience - Guideline Page No. 29 | - | Weightage for Scoring: Educational Qualifications - 30% Professional Experience - 70% The key personnel shall be evaluated against the Education Qualification & Experience requirement as stated in the RFP. Key personnel having relevant qualification & experience more than the minimum requirement stated herein, may be awarded additional marks. | | |

| Clause | As given in RFP Document | To be read as | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| SECTION 3. Terms of | Team Leader cum Urban Transport Specialist | KP 1 - Team Leader cum Urban Transport Specialist | | |
| Reference 3.8 - Experience & | Finance Cum Procurement & Contract Management Specialist | KP 2 -Finance Cum Procurement & ContractManagement Specialist | | |
| Qualification Requirements for Key Personnel - | Urban Transport Analyst | KP 3 - Urban Transport Analyst | | |
| Page No. 39-41 | Social Development cum Gender Specialist | KP 4 - Social Development cum Gender Specialist | | |
| | Environmental Management Specialist/Engineer | KP 5 - Environmental Management Specialist/Engineer | | |
| SECTION 3. Terms of Reference 3.8 - Experience & Qualification Requirements for Key Personnel - KP 1 - Team Leader cum Urban Transport Specialist Page No. 39 | • The specialist should have experience of working as Team Leader/ Project Manager for at least 1 (one) Similar Project | • The specialist should have experience of working as Team Leader/ Deputy Team Leader/Project Manager for at least 1 (one) Similar Project | | |
| SECTION 3. Terms of Reference 3.8 - Experience & Qualification Requirements for Key Personnel - KP 2 -Finance Cum Procurement & Contract Management Specialist | MBA in Finance / Post Graduate Degree in Finance or equivalent. | MBA in Finance / Post Graduate Degree in Finance/Post Graduate Degree in Management with specialisation in Finance or equivalent. | | |

| Clause | As given in RFP Document | To be read as | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Page No. 39 SECTION 3. Terms of Reference 3.8 - Experience & Qualification Requirements for Key Personnel - KP 3 - Urban Transport Analyst Page No. 40 | Experience in application of GIS software (ArcGIS/Q GIS), MS office etc. is mandatory | Experience in application of GIS software (ArcGIS/Q GIS or equivalent) or Traffic & Transportation modeling software (VISSUM or CUBE or equivalent), MS office etc. is mandatory | | |
| SECTION 3. Terms of Reference 3.8 - Experience & Qualification Requirements for Key Personnel - KP 4 - Social Development cum Gender Specialist Page No. 40 | Minimum 8 years relevant post qualification experience and at least 5 years' experience in projects for carrying out social impact assessments (SIA) /Social Management Plans (SMP)/ Development and governance in the area of gender equality and social inclusion. | Minimum 8 years relevant professional experience and at least 5 years' experience in projects for carrying out social impact assessments (SIA) /Social Management Plans (SMP)/ Development and governance in the area of gender equality and social inclusion. | | |
| SECTION 3. Terms of Reference 3.8 - Experience & Qualification Requirements for Key Personnel - KP 5 - Environmental | Minimum 8 years of relevant post-qualification experience and at least 5 years' experience in projects for carrying out Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) /preparation of mitigation plans/ Environmental Management Plan (EMP) | Minimum 8 years of relevant professional experience and at least 5 years' experience in projects for carrying out Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) /preparation of mitigation plans/ Environmental Management Plan (EMP) | | |

| Clause | As given in RFP Document | To be read as |
|---|---|--|
| Management Specialist/Engineer | | |
| Page No. 41 | 6.2. Removal and/or Replacement of Key Personnel 6.2.1. After award of contract the Client expects all the proposed Key Personnel to be available during implementation of the contract. The Client will not consider any substitution of Key Personnel except under compelling circumstances beyond the reasonable control of the Consultant such as retirement, death, medical incapacity among others, of Key Personnel. | 6.2. Removal and/or Replacement of Key Personnel 6.2.1. After award of contract the Client expects all the proposed Key Personnel to be available during implementation of the contract. The Client will not consider any substitution of Key Personnel except under compelling circumstances beyond the reasonable control of the Consultant such as retirement or resignation, death, medical incapacity among others, of Key Personnel. |
| General Conditions of Contract 6.2. Removal and/or Replacement of Key Personnel Page 88 | 5.1.1 i) Until submission & acceptance of First Mid Term Report: Substitution shall be limited to a maximum of Two (2) Key Personnel other than Team Leader subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Client. Any subsequent substitution of key personnel would call for reduction of remuneration by 10% of the remuneration of the personnel so replaced for the remaining period. Requests by Consultant for replacement of Team Leader may be considered by the authority for situation beyond the reasonable control of the consultant and allowed based on merits of the case so determined by the Authority, subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. The | 6.2.2 Substitution shall be limited to a maximum of Three (3) times for the positions - KP 2 & KP 3 subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. Any subsequent substitution of key personnel would call for a reduction of 10% in the applicable monthly remuneration for the remainder of the period. 6.2.3 Substitutions for positions KP 4 & KP 5, shall be allowed at the time of their extension of period of deployment beyond the first year without any reduction in remuneration. If no replacement for a position is sought at the time of extension, then replacement of such key personnel shall be allowed only One (1) time during the period of extension without any reduction in remuneration. Any subsequent substitution of key personnel so replaced, would call for a reduction of 10% |

| Clause | As given in RFP Document | To be read as | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | Authority may impose, at its discretion, reduction of | in the applicable monthly remuneration for the | | |
| | remuneration of up to 20% of the applicable | remainder of the period. In each case, equal or better | | |
| | remuneration of the Team Leader for the remaining | qualified and experienced replacement personnel shall | | |
| | period. Inability of consultant to deploy Team Leader | have to be provided to the satisfaction of the Authority | | |
| | for the assignment will be grounds for termination by | | | |
| | the Authority. | 6.2.4 Requests by Consultant for replacement of KP 1 | | |
| | • Substitutions undertaken at the time of contract | - Team Leader may be considered by the authority for | | |
| | negotiations shall be counted while calculating the | situation beyond the reasonable control of the consultant | | |
| | number of substitutions under this clause. | and allowed based on merits of the case so determined | | |
| | • The Client reserves the right to instruct the Consultant | by the Authority, subject to equally or better qualified | | |
| | to replace the key personnel during | and experienced personnel being provided to the | | |
| | negotiation/implementation stage. such replacement | satisfaction of the Authority. Such substitution of Team | | |
| | shall not be considered for reduction of remuneration. | Leader shall be allowed only once. Any subsequent | | |
| | | substitution would call for a reduction of 10% in the | | |
| | 5.1.2 ii) Third Annual Survey onwards till completion | applicable monthly remuneration for the remainder of | | |
| | of contract: | the period. Inability of consultant to deploy Team | | |
| | • Substitution shall be limited to a maximum of two (2) | Leader for the assignment will be grounds for | | |
| | times for each of the Key Personnel subject to equally | termination by the Authority. | | |
| | or better qualified and experienced personnel being | | | |
| | provided to the satisfaction of the Client. | 6.2.5 Substitutions undertaken at the time of contract | | |
| | Any subsequent substitution would call for reduction | negotiations shall be counted while calculating the | | |
| | of remuneration by 10% of the remuneration of the | number of substitutions under this clause. | | |
| | personnel so replaced for the remaining period. | | | |
| | • If the Client finds that any of the Personnel have (i) | 6.2.6 The Client reserves the right to instruct the | | |
| | committed serious misconduct or has been charged | Consultant to replace the key personnel during | | |
| | with having committed a criminal action, or (ii) have | negotiation/implementation stage. such replacement | | |
| | reasonable cause to be dissatisfied with the | shall not be considered for reduction of remuneration. | | |
| | performance of any of the Personnel, then the | 6.2.7 If the Client finds that any of the Personnel have (i) | | |
| | Consultants shall, at the Client's written request | committed serious misconduct or has been charged with | | |
| | specifying the grounds therefore, forthwith provide as | having committed a criminal action, or (ii) have | | |
| | | reasonable cause to be dissatisfied with the performance | | |

| Clause | As given in RFP Document | To be read as | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | a replacement a person with qualifications and | • | Personnel, then the Consultants shall, at the | |
| | experience acceptable to the Client. | | itten request specifying the grounds, | |
| | | | thwith provide as a replacement a person | |
| | | • | eations and experience acceptable to the | |
| | | Client. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | onsultant shall have no claim for additional | |
| | | | out of or incidental to any removal and/or | |
| | | replacement of | of Personnel. | |
| | 11.1. Penalties for delay & deficiencies: Any delay & deficiencies on part of the Consultant may attract | 11.1. Penal | lties for delay & deficiencies: Any delay & | |
| | 1 | deficiencies | on part of the Consultant may attract | |
| General Conditions of | penalty provisions in the form of fines, up to a maximum amount of 10% of the Contract Value, and/or | penalty provisions in the form of fines, up to a maximum amount of 5% of the Contract Value, and/or termination | | |
| Contract (GC), | termination by the Client. For period exceeding beyond | | | |
| 11.Penalty, | stipulated time period, due to failure on the part of | of the period, due to failure on the part of consultant, the consultant may be liable to pay to the client penalty at the rate of 0.5% of the Contract Value per week of delay | | |
| 11.1 Charty, | consultant, the consultant may be liable to pay to the | | | |
| Page - 91 | client penalty at the rate of 1% of the Contract Value per | | | |
| | week of delay subject to maximum of 10% of the | | | |
| | contract value. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Clause No | Insertion | |
| | | 8.5 | 30 Days | |
| II. Special Conditions of | | 8.6 | Not Applicable | |
| Contract | | | The consultant is responsible for the | |
| Contract | | 10 | deliverables, documents submitted, and | |
| | | | services provided under this contract. Timelines for deliverable of services | |
| | | | and deployment of experts shall be as | |
| | | 11.1 | per mutual agreement between the | |
| | | | PMU and the client. | |